

As I see it, I have two choices this time around, either expose this or run..
Flight or fight.. I choose not to run!

I have had it with running! Now I fear for my daughters safety again!
Why would anyone be this vicious?

This PVC saw from the paperwork she had in her hand from my daughters enrollment application that this child was to be protected!
When the PVC employee demanded the "COURT ORDER" under threat of exposure, she committed a violent crime against Abby-Rose Phebe Victoria Stewart. As you can see, she's never allowed to take pictures without sunglasses on, why because of the protection I provide! She has a stage name she assumes when talking on the radio and television (not affiliated with me!)
This is the little girl the employee of PVC attacked and now wants me to "just go away, and pretend like it didn't happen!"

I have these rights, however who's going to enforce them when it is a school doing the tort?

M. Landry v. San Diego Unified School District, et al. SDSC No. 64093 9/11/07 I see others are unhappy also with the county's school systems.

<http://ag.ca.gov/victimservices/overview.php>

Victims and witnesses of crime have specific rights under the "Victims' Bill of Rights" established by voter-passed Proposition 8 in June 1982 and under state laws. Here is an overview of these constitutional and statutory rights.

RIGHT TO KEEP ADDRESS CONFIDENTIAL In cases of child abuse, spousal abuse and sex crimes, and victims have a right to have their addresses kept confidential. Their addresses may be given only to the attorney for the defendant, but will not appear on any forms or public documents.

RIGHT NOT TO BE THREATENED OR INTIMIDATED If anyone threatens you, call your law enforcement agency to report the threat and contact the prosecutor immediately. It is a crime for anyone to attempt to dissuade or prevent you from assisting law enforcement agencies or prosecutors or from attending or giving testimony at any trial or proceeding authorized by law. It is a felony if any such efforts involve coercion, threats or force, or are done for financial gain.

California Penal Code Section 1202.4 <http://law.onecle.com/california/penal/1202.4.html>

(a) (1) It is the intent of the Legislature that a victim of crime who incurs any economic loss as a result of the commission of a crime shall receive restitution directly from any defendant convicted of that crime.

<http://www.boc.ca.gov/victims/rights.aspx>

California Crime Victims' Bill of Rights

In 1982, California voters passed Proposition 8 which established a Bill of Rights for crime victims. These rights include:

Right to restitution from the offender.

Right to truth in evidence in criminal proceedings.

Public safety is primary consideration in setting of bail.

Right to speak at felony sentencing and parole hearings.

Right to safe schools.

For more information about the California Crime Victims' Bill of Rights, please visit the California Attorney General's Office of Victims' Services website. You can also seek assistance from your local victim advocate or contact the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation's Office of Survivor Services.

<http://www.sandiego.gov/police/pdf/standards.pdf>

I do NOT think I am the first person with issues who has been attacked by some "policy pusher."

I just happen to be the most vocal, public figure they have ever had to deal with.. Oh, yeah we pretend we are bums.. I will not go away, now.. If I had gotten what I wanted and felt me and my child was safe from stupidity like this then I would have just let bygones be bygones. I have already once been forced to "start life again." I am very upset I might have to "start life again, because someone's power trip."

I mean, how would you feel if someone said they were to busy to talk to you, that they had the right to be rude to you, and that you are a liar, and that they felt they could demand stuff and hide behind "it's our policy." Then find yourself picking up and moving to someplace else just because someone felt they had the right..

What did it teach me? What did it teach my daughter, who's ego's being stroked here, why is there an ego problem and what will make it all go away... Those are the questions that must be asked..

Why did this happen?

What will make Ms. Stewart go away?

Well from the reply below to the phone call received from Dec 13, 2007 02:07 PM (760) 757-0161 00:16:00

Reply from Ms. Campbell.

1. She insisted on talking over the top of me repeatedly.

a. She can't hear me when she's not listening to me, or talking instead of listening. My opinion is that she has no respect for me what so ever, and after her "EXCUSING her bad behavior, I'm a busy woman" it proves she has no respect for me!

2. She insisted that "the COURT orders must be provided," even despite the letter attached to Abby's registration.

a. She insists it was not "wrong" to demand the court order. I feel that the letter from a mandated reporter was MORE then enough. It was very clear about the safety of my child. Which the employee of PVC had in her hand when she CALLED ME!

3. When I said you can't hear me when you talk over the top, her excuse was that she was a very busy woman..

a. THIS WAS A SLAP IN THE PROVERBIAL FACE, I am also a RADIO AND TELEVISION personality AND HOME SCHOOL MY CHILD, I am EXTREMELY BUSY!

4. She said she spoke to her employee and that the employee says she said something different then I said.

a. If her employee is telling the truth then I would be lying. Ms. Campbell was CALLING ME A LIAR-Those are fighting words! There is only a black and white answer here.

5. Ms. Campbell, stated that because my child is not currently enrolled, that there was nothing I could do about the tort committed against my child! Abby has the RIGHT NOT TO BE THREATENED OR INTIMIDATED!

a. Although my child will not attend PVC, I am not just going to go away. I feel this was a power trip on the part of the unnamed and now protected unname employee of PVC. She wanted to show who was boss and Abby and I were to take what she's was dishing out as "policy." I would have gone away with just the requested items but after being treated with disrespect, I believe she will only respect a civil rights claim for damages.

6. She asked me what I wanted.. My reply was, I want an apology in writing, and I want this to NEVER happen to a child again!

a. She was not asked for money or to make a Radio, Television, or Newspaper retraction of the actions taken by her employee on behalf of PVC. I did not ask her to do a public service announcement about this. Maybe a Public Service Announcement is in order here after what has transpired. WAVA might even fund the PSA of PVC. or <http://www.mysati.com/Downloads/ChildVWP.PDF>

7. She said she would talk to the employee again, but her investigation would find "NOTHING WRONG," with the actions of the employee.

a. As I have pointed out before, if this type of behavior is allowed to continue, then she is aiding and abetting this bad behavior.

8. She seemed to think this should all go away because we are not enrolled, the damage was done, the threat made, the threats to release information which would cause a violent crime.

a. The tort was committed, and she feels it will just go away, IT WON'T I tried to be reasonable.

I want an apology in writing!

I want to protect other children!

Ms. Campbell's got a real problem with sticking her foot into mouth!

To back a person who's committed real harm to a family is abusive, as is constantly being talked over the top of!

Ms. Campbell said that there had not been a request for the records.

That is not the point, it is that those records would be released despite the law!

It is that I must provide court orders and that the school felt that without the "court order" they could threaten to release records.

IF you threaten a person with TELLING THE abuser where to get a protected child it is an issue worth fighting for, it is a physical threat!

Ms. Campbell made it out to be "just a misunderstanding and mute point."

IT is not a misunderstanding and I have witnesses to the facts..

The person who called me between 9:20 and 9:30 am and Dec 12, 2007 Demanding the "COURT ORDER."

Dec 12, 2007 12:08 PM (760) 757-0161 00:02:00

PVC requested the books be returned.

Amendment VII In Suits at common law, where the value in controversy shall exceed twenty dollars, the right of trial by jury shall be preserved, and no fact tried by a jury, shall be otherwise re-examined in any Court of the United States, than according to the rules of the common law.

TITLE 15 > CHAPTER 22 > SUBCHAPTER III > § 1122 (c) Remedies

In a suit described in subsection (a) or (b) of this section for a violation described therein, remedies (including remedies both at law and in equity) are available for the violation to the same extent as such remedies are available for such a violation in a suit against any person other than the United States or any agency or instrumentality thereof, or any individual, firm, corporation, or other person acting for the United States and with authorization and consent of the United States, or a State, instrumentality of a State, or officer or employee of a State or instrumentality of a State acting in his or her official capacity. Such remedies include injunctive relief under section 1116 of this title, actual damages, profits, costs and attorney's fees under section 1117 of this title, destruction of infringing articles under section 1118 of this title, the remedies provided for under sections 1114, 1119, 1120, 1124 and 1125 of this title, and for any other remedies provided under this chapter.

The President, Vice President and all civil officers of the United States, shall be removed from office on impeachment for, and conviction of, treason, bribery, or other high crimes and misdemeanors.

I called back shortly there after and requested a packing slip instead of "showing up!"

Each violation of rights is worth 15 million..

We can either negotiate in court or out of court.

tort >noun Law a wrongful act or an infringement of a right (other than under contract) leading to legal liability.

-ORIGIN Latin tortum 'wrong, injustice'.

I just wanted a "I'm Sorry and a prevention of this."

I do not believe that was to much to ask...

I don't want PVC's money, I want JUSTICE..

Like many people Apparently the only thing that PVC respects is MONEY.. SO BE IT!

I wanted respect and I got told "I was a liar and my time was not valuable, and now PVC school is unsafe to attend for Abby to Attend!

I request that PVC does a Public Service Announcement for this tort committed against Abby-Rose Stewart.
I can request under 1985, 1983 and Macias v. Lopez, damages of 15 million.
I will negotiate with you either in or out of court now.

----- Original Message -----

From: thecourtwatcher@earthlink.net
To: christopher.wright@ed.gov; tori.hatada@ed.gov; OCR@ed.gov
Sent: 12/13/2007 2:45:04 PM
Subject: FW: Show me the COURT order Allowing Contact! Burdens ON THE SCHOOL!

Vista Office
North County Regional Center
325 S. Melrose Drive, Suite 5000
Vista, CA 92081
760-806-4004
FAX: 760-806-4162

----- Original Message -----

From: thecourtwatcher@earthlink.net
To: gcole@pacificview.org; jamlv@aol.com; ahakes@yahoo.com; janetblacy@cox.net; royyb@cox.net; emilyow1@cox.net; mitchell.landsberg@latimes.com; webmaster@cta.org; teach4kids@edethics.org; info@wetip.com; gcampbell@pacificview.org; su.sanaguilarteo@wetip.com; mbrownell@wetip.com; editor@nctimes.com; blubecki@wetip.com; dlugo@wetip.com; dlugo@wetip.com; storrice@wetip.com; jbukey@csba.org; dhamilton@csba.org; dlugo@wetip.com; suemandell@wetip.com; karnel@wetip.com; suemandell@wetip.com; jlubecki@wetip.com; jcias@csba.org; scody@csba.org; yseibert@csba.org; splotkin@csba.org; scheduler@cde.ca.gov; Kmorota@csea.com
Sent: 12/13/2007 1:17:09 PM
Subject: Show me the COURT order Allowing Contact! Burdens ON THE SCHOOL!

The question since I expected an appology for the breach of privacy and threats to be in my e-mail this morning.

What are you going to do about it?

Give me a call, we'll talk about it.....

760-512-1727

CC:
The Honorable Jack O'Connell
State Superintendent of Public Instruction
1430 N Street, Suite 5602
Sacramento, CA 95814-5901
Fax 916-319-0100

<http://www.leginfo.ca.gov/cgi-bin/calawquery?codesection=edc>

Print - Close Window

Date: Wed, 12 Dec 2007 16:20:41 -0800 (PST)
From: "PJ or Brian" <ravenwaverider@yahoo.com>
Subject: Show me the Court Order allowing contact! Burdens ON THE SCHOOL!
To: Jim.Battin@sen.ca.gov, kmeck@pacificview.org, hsllda@hsllda.org

Dear Mr. Battin
Mrs. Meck (Last Contact notification)
and HSLDA.

According to the law the burden of the COURT order is on the PVC!

760-757-0161

PVC's secretary called me up and said that if I didn't produce the order.. That they would TELL BRANDON PAUL STEWART where and when my child would be attending school and that they would not prevent him from having physical contact with my daughter.

California Family Code (not law) 3030. (e) unless the court finds that the disclosure would be in the best interest of the child.

Where is PVC's unless order?

FAMILY.CODE 3030. (a) (1) No person shall be granted physical or legal custody of, or unsupervised visitation with, a child if the person is required to be registered as a sex offender under Section 290 of the Penal Code where the victim was a minor, or if the person has been convicted under Section 273a, 273d, or 647.6 of the Penal Code, unless

the court finds that there is no

significant risk to the child.

PVC DON'T have an order allowing PVC to disclose any information as to where Abby LIVES, Goes to school or the doctors..

Burden is on PVC!

PVC does not have one, so the POLICY PVC was trying to use against me not only violated California Family Code but Federal Mandates, USC's and the Megan's law, and Jessica law.

I am a member of several Press agencies, not limited to radio, television and written editions. I am also a credentialed minister, so the below right directly affects me. I was once a teacher for Accelerated Christian Education, so I am qualified to home school my child. I currently teach Constitutional fundamentals.

The Bill of Rights:

Amendment I

Congress shall make no law respecting an establishment of religion, or prohibiting the free exercise thereof; or abridging the freedom of speech, or of the press; or the right of the people peaceably to assemble, and to petition the Government for a redress of grievances.

www.pacificview.org

<http://www.hslda.org/join/protect.asp?m=do>

I have requested a packing slip for the return of the books from Pacific View Charter school.

I am PISSED I am at having PVC

THREATEN MY DAUGHTER!

How would you feel if a school called you up to tell you that they were going to ABUSE you're child by letting a sexual offender know where you're child is attending school? I think even you'd be pissed!

I am repulsed by the bad behavior of PVC towards us, PVC takes federal and state funds and that makes them a government agency with responsibility, of juveniles! Mandated reports make you members of the Justice.

I have withdrawn my child from you're school for having failed to protect and for Principal, Misprision of felony, for threatening a Crime of violence, and for violating Title 42 § 14141 Engaged in a pattern or practice of conduct by law enforcement officers or by officials or employees of any governmental agency with responsibility for the administration of juvenile justice. FAMILY 3030. (e) The court shall not disclose, or cause to be disclosed, the custodial parent's place of residence, place of employment, or the child's school, unless the court finds that the disclosure would be in the best interest of the child.

SHOW ME PVC's COURT ORDER stating that the disclosure is in the best interest of the child, burden's on the PVC!

Disclosing a child's location of a child who is being protected from a confessed molester and a convicted felon is a violation of her CIVIL RIGHTS!

Amendment VIII

Excessive bail shall not be required, nor excessive fines imposed, nor cruel and unusual punishments inflicted.

I would say exposing Abby-Rose to a molester as CRUEL AND UNUSUAL PUNISHMENT! She has committed no crime, she is the biggest sweet heart you'd ever meet, has gotten to speak to Ron Paul twice on live radio! She's cute smart and not going to be put in harms way by some stupid school who does NOT seem to know the law! Can not use state anything to violate the law!

NO State law.

NO state statute.

NO state ordinance.

NO state regulation.

NO state custom.

NO state usage.

NO state Policy.

NO state doctrine.

TITLE 28 > PART IV> CHAPTER 85 > § 1343. To redress the deprivation, under color of any State law, statute, ordinance, regulation, custom or usage, of any right, privilege or immunity secured by the Constitution of the United States or by any Act of Congress providing for equal rights of citizens or of all persons within the jurisdiction of the United States;

Since it was PVC following California POLICY, then PVC has used a policy to violate my daughter's rights and mine! I did ask what law was it that she was telling me she was forced to tell my ex where we lived and when my daughter went to school with. . She only said it was state Policy!

I have had a day today. I have not had to deal with being confronted with the "molester" in years! I do not think about it everyday, nor do I want to worry about IF HE SHOW UP!

That man has cost me my beloved children, my family, my friends, my roses, cats, home and life, I had to leave the county I loved, I had to put my belongings into storage for 3 years and now you threaten us? My older children are messed up, and it is his fault, I will NOT allow him to mess up the youngest!

"Notice the Hardship to mother," I did it and we have started a whole new life!

Now the question is, have you already disclosed information that will lead Brandon Paul Stewart to Abby Rose? The only way that information could have gotten out is threw Pacific View Charter School. If he does PVC will have done REAL DAMAGE! It would be out of sheer getting me back for being rightfully angry, and the actions of PVC would be vexing and vindictive, retaliation and all those are criminal in nature!

Title 42 U.S.C. § 14141
 Sec. 14141. Cause of action
 (a) Unlawful conduct

It shall be unlawful for any governmental authority, or any agent thereof, or any person acting on behalf of a governmental authority, to engage in a pattern or practice of conduct by law enforcement officers or by officials or employees of any governmental agency with responsibility for the administration of juvenile justice or the incarceration of juveniles that deprives persons of rights, privileges, or immunities secured or protected by the Constitution or laws of the United States.

(b) Civil action by Attorney General

Whenever the Attorney General has reasonable cause to believe that a violation of paragraph (1) \1\ has occurred, the Attorney General, for or in the name of the United States, may in a civil action obtain appropriate equitable and declaratory relief to eliminate the pattern or practice.

TITLE 42--THE PUBLIC HEALTH AND WELFARE
 CHAPTER 110--FAMILY VIOLENCE PREVENTION AND SERVICES

Sec. 10401. Declaration of purpose

It is the purpose of this chapter to--

- (1) assist States in efforts to increase public awareness about and prevent family violence and to provide immediate shelter and related assistance for victims of family violence and their dependents; and
- (2) provide for technical assistance and training relating to family violence programs to States, local public agencies (including law enforcement agencies, courts, legal, social service, and health care professionals), nonprofit private organizations, and other persons seeking such assistance.

TITLE 42 > § 12202 A State shall not be immune.

TITLE 15 § 1122 No Immunity to state actors.

TITLE 42 > § 1983

IF I am forced to seek assistance for relocation and victims' assistance don't think I won't be filing mandamus this time! I have not wasted my time in the last 3 years, I have made great use of my time learning the laws.

As you can see from the attached documents (including a copy of the e-mail where he confessed in PUKE PINK) that BPS is in fact a child molester and now the SCHOOL IS COMMITTING A MISPRISION OF A FELONY! Aiding and abedding a convicted child molester, in committing a violent crime..

http://ag.ca.gov/megan/pdf/ca_sexoff.pdf

TITLE 18 > PART I > CHAPTER 1 > § 2 Principals

TITLE 18 > PART I > CHAPTER 1 > § 4 Misprision of felony

TITLE 18 > PART I > CHAPTER 1 > § 16. Crime of violence defined

According to the law the burden of the COURT order is on the SCHOOL! The California Code (not law) 3030. (e) unless the court finds that the disclosure would be in the best interest of the child.

Where is Pacific View Charter School unless order?

I did NOT include the court order, however there is a reference to the court order in the letter from Susan Burg attached. If I had not moved away from my older children and not lost my home to the molester, I would have been charged with failing to protect!

Because I had not produced the court order, I was threatened with a violent crime!

According to the law the burden of the COURT order is on the SCHOOL! The California Code (not law) 3030. (e) unless the court finds that the disclosure would be in the best interest of the child.

Where is Pacific View Charter School "unless order?"

Now the Pacific View Charter School is FAILING to protect! IF I actually allowed her to attend a public school they would tell her father a child molester where she lives and where she goes to school and when she's at school.

WHERE IS THE POLICY THAT SAYS PVC CAN COMMIT A CRIME?

That is why I home school and why I left my older children, my art studio, my belongings, my cats and my award winning roses... TO PROTECT MY DAUGHTER AT ALL COSTS!

IT is not a question of "well maybe he didn't do it.."

HE GOD DAMNED WELL CONFESSED! It is a cold hard fact!

CALIFORNIA CODES FAMILY.CODE SECTION

3020. (a) The Legislature finds and declares that it is the public policy of this state to assure that the health, safety, and welfare of children shall be the court's primary concern in determining the best interest of children when making any orders regarding the physical or legal custody or visitation of children. The Legislature further finds and declares that the perpetration of child abuse or domestic violence in a household where a child resides is detrimental to the child.

(b) The Legislature finds and declares that it is the public policy of this state to assure that children have frequent and continuing contact with both parents after the parents have separated or dissolved their marriage, or ended their relationship, and to encourage parents to share the rights and responsibilities of child rearing in order to effect this policy, except where the contact would not be in the best interest of the child, as provided in Section 3011.

(c) Where the policies set forth in subdivisions (a) and (b) of this section are in conflict, any court's order regarding physical or legal custody or visitation shall be made in a manner that ensures the health, safety, and welfare of the child and the safety of all family members.

That includes me! I have been threatened by him if I didn't sleep with him.. My life would be put in harms way.

Now, PVC seems to know the law, why is PVC apparently violating the law? PVC has endangered my child's health, safety and WELFARE by threatening to expose her to a KNOWN CONFESSED CHILD MOLESTER, WITHOUT A COURT ORDER ALLOWING PVC TO DISCLOSE THIS INFORMATION!

CALIFORNIA CODES FAMILY.CODE SECTION

3030. (a) (1) No person shall be granted physical or legal custody of, or unsupervised visitation with, a child if the person is required to be registered as a sex offender under Section 290 of the Penal Code where the victim was a minor, or if the person has been convicted under Section 273a, 273d, or 647.6 of the Penal Code, unless

the court finds that there is no significant risk to the child and states its reasons in writing or on the record. The child may not be placed in a home in which that person resides, nor permitted to have unsupervised visitation with that person, unless the court states the reasons for its findings in writing or on the record.

(2) No person shall be granted physical or legal custody of, or unsupervised visitation with, a child if anyone residing in the person's household is required, as a result of a felony conviction in which the victim was a minor, to register as a sex offender under Section 290 of the Penal Code, unless the court finds there is no significant risk to the child and states its reasons in writing or on the record. The child may not be placed in a home in which that person resides, nor permitted to have unsupervised visitation with that person, unless the court states the reasons for its findings in writing or on the record.

(3) The fact that a child is permitted unsupervised contact with a person who is required, as a result of a felony conviction in which the victim was a minor, to be registered as a sex offender under Section 290 of the Penal Code, shall be prima facie evidence that the child is at significant risk. When making a determination regarding significant risk to the child, the prima facie evidence shall constitute a presumption affecting the burden of producing evidence.

However, this presumption shall not apply if there are factors mitigating against its application, including whether the party seeking custody or visitation is also required, as the result of a felony conviction in which the victim was a minor, to register as a sex offender under Section 290 of the Penal Code.

(b) No person shall be granted custody of, or visitation with, a child if the person has been convicted under Section 261 of the Penal Code and the child was conceived as a result of that violation.

(c) No person shall be granted custody of, or unsupervised visitation with, a child if the person has been convicted of murder in the first degree, as defined in Section 189 of the Penal Code, and the victim of the murder was the other parent of the child who is the subject of the order, unless the court finds that there is no risk to the child's health, safety, and welfare, and states the reasons for its finding in writing or on the record. In making its finding, the court may consider, among other things, the following:

(1) The wishes of the child, if the child is of sufficient age and capacity to reason so as to form an intelligent preference.

(2) Credible evidence that the convicted parent was a victim of abuse, as defined in Section 6203, committed by the deceased parent. That evidence may include, but is not limited to, written reports by law enforcement agencies, child protective services or other social welfare agencies, courts, medical facilities, or other public agencies or private nonprofit organizations providing services to victims of domestic abuse.

(3) Testimony of an expert witness, qualified under Section 1107 of the Evidence Code, that the convicted parent experiences intimate partner battering.

Unless and until a custody or visitation order is issued pursuant to this subdivision, no person shall permit or cause the child to visit or remain in the custody of the convicted parent without the consent of the child's custodian or legal guardian.

(d) The court may order child support that is to be paid by a person subject to subdivision (a), (b), or (c) to be paid through the local child support agency, as authorized by Section 4573 of the Family Code and Division 17 (commencing with Section 17000) of this code.

(e) The court shall not disclose, or cause to be disclosed, the custodial parent's place of residence, place of employment, or the child's school, unless the court finds that the disclosure would be in the best interest of the child.

SHOW ME THE COURT

ORDER WHERE IT

WOULD BE IN THE

BEST INTEREST OF

THE CHILD!!

PVC HAS the burden of

the COURT ORDER!

NOT ME!

READ the Codes before PVC violates them!

IF I expose her to Brandon Paul Stewart I would be exposing her to be molested. Now the School thinks they can expose my daughter to a molester and get away with it? That is the schools fault and it would be failing to protect, the same codes that bind me burden PVC!
The law is two edged, PVC can't cram it down one person throat while PVC violate it themselves.

Email of Brandons Stewart copied and pasted directly... IN PUKE PINK!

Brandon Stewart <n_trawets@yahoo.com> wrote:

Date: Fri, 15 Nov 2002 20:53:14 -0800 (PST) From: Brandon Stewart

Subject: "Homework"

To: tantraraven@yahoo.com

This E-Mail was written Veteran's Day weekend. It has taken this long to get it onto a computer and send it. After last Tues. I feel somewhat defeated. I hope there is still hope.

I can not tell you how many times I have read your E-Mail, how many times I have started this answer. I have decided to handle it one part at a time. This will be the first of several responses, maybe even the beginning of an on-line dialog.

You are right. What I did was incredibly wrong. It was wrong for me to molest Chrystal. It was wrong for me to lie to you. I am sorry. It has been eating at me for the last three years. Maybe now you can understand why I've had so much trouble in bed. It was guilt, not lack of interest in you.

What I have done has seriously damaged our relationship. I doubt it will ever be able to completely recover but I think we should try. I intend to consider us married.

We were already planning a separation for the balance of my probation. If, at the end of that time, we decide it is unsalvageable, we will declare it dead. Until that time I will not treat it as an open marriage. If you choose to I can not stop you. You did it to F.A.F. It's up to you and your conscience.

I told you then and I tell you now: don't believe Devon! You know how fast she jumped into bed with everyone. If I had tried, she would have. Even she never tried to claim that!

You're right. What I did was sick and I have a lot of healing to do. I've been working on this both in group and with Laura. I'm still learning the full extent of what I've done and starting to understand why.

You've got Susan. Talk to her. She can help you deal with all that's been done to you; by me, by F.A.F., by Jean, by A.C.Morris, by your foster brothers, etc. No matter what happens to "us" you owe it to yourself.

Do you Yahoo!?

Yahoo! Web Hosting - Let the expert host your site

HE MOLESTED MY GIRLS!!!!!! Brandon Paul Stewart is a MOLESTER, ABOMINATION, waist of time and skin!

Court File is light gray blue, proving my points...
Dated in Feb 2004! shorted for you're reading ease..
(some of the quoted laws may have changed!)

I, P.J. (Stewart) Priestley, make this subsequent Memorandum of Points and Authorities based on the declarations handed to me in court 1-20-04 by Brandon's Attorney.

1. Brandon Paul Stewart molested Chrystel and Meshelle Rivera his step-daughters starting October, 1, 1999 and ending just before Christmas. Brandon admitted to the molesting of his step- daughter. He will never be granted more then "supervised visation."

Exhibit A His letter admitting his molestation.

2. His statement is prevarication, without any proof. " While I have no proof that my wife has involved our daughter in any sexual activities, I am concerned for the safety or our daughter by her mother's posting of Abigale's picture on the world wide web." Exhibit B His statement

His statement was made, solely to interfere with my lawful custody. He has not proof of any type. He says that in his statement. This is the sickest thing I have ever read in my whole entire life, he is a sick pedophile, and assumes that I would knowingly allow my children to be molested, by him or by other men. I do not have any pornography, but I already know that he has taken hundreds of pornographic pictures, he fancied himself a nude photographer and I refused to allow him to take any of me!

Since Brandon attempted to use the tantra_raven@yahoo.com Both of my I.M. (Instant Massager.) are deleted preventing Brandon from further internet stalking.

Brandon molested his own step-children. I did not condone his action. His statement implies that I will/ would use my children to get sex. His statement is "Petitioner (Brandon) is extremely concerned that the (The Mother) respondent may be advertising with their daughter in a web page that is either of pornographic nature, or at least one designed for attracting possible partners." This is a no fault divorce state, or I could have charged him for the infidelity. I have a life, it appears Brandon has nothing better then to stalk me online.

The picture in question is of my child is in a Victorian era to the floor ball room gown. I am very old fashioned. There is nothing suggestive about it. Lots of other proud parents show pictures of their children.

Brandon has made up this lie to try to get visitations, this does not make me feel easier with his obvious delusions, of sexual nature and references to pornography. He molested her sisters, abandon me and his daughter, accused me of sexual misconduct with my children. I believe he is very, sick and a danger to us.

Penal Code 270a. Every individual who has sufficient ability to provide for his or her spouse's support, or who is able to earn the means of such spouse's support, who willfully abandons and leaves his or her spouse in a destitute condition, or who refuses or neglects to provide such spouse with necessary food, clothing, shelter, or medical attendance, unless by such spouse's conduct the individual was justified in a bandoning such spouse, is guilty of a misdemeanor.

Exhibit E . Receipt from the Sex Offender's Classes.

Brandon has not received permission to have supervised visitations from the PROBATION department.

His receipt from the Sex Offender's And Families in Effective Recovery is not permission from Probation, but proves he is attending Sex Offenders classes His statement implies that I have offered my older daughters for sexual favors to him. I never offered my children to him. I told him I would "castrate him if he so much as laid a hand on my daughter

5. Brandon has made a verbal threat on the phone to know where his daughter is attending School, and that if I didn't tell him I'd be "sorry," and the same goes for us living in the home, I'd better not "make him sorry." Brandon knows where we live, we live in the "Stewart Trust House." He has threatened me and stalked us and had my phone turned off. I will continue to protect her school location. While I feel safe with Abi-Rose's at the "Stewart Trust" I will stay here, the neighbors are aware. I will only move us if I have to for her Safety, for now within California. Moving would be a hardship for me, I loose my visitations with my 11 year old. WE qualify for a domestic violence shelter.

The ones in San Diego County, are the best & they are willing to except us, and a school of dentistry is there.

Points 4. Family Code 3046.

(a) If a party is absent or relocates from the family residence, the court shall not consider the absence or relocation as a factor in determining custody or visitation in either of the following circumstances:

(1) A party against whom a protective or restraining order has been issued excluding the party from the dwelling of the other party or the child, or otherwise enjoining the party from assault or harassment against the other party or the child, including, but not limited to, orders issued under Part 4 (commencing with Section 6300) of Division 10, orders preventing civil harassment or workplace violence issued pursuant to Section 527.6 or 527.8 of the Code of Civil Procedure, and criminal protective orders issued pursuant to Section 136.2 of the Penal Code.

It would not be in Abi-Rose best interest, & is contrary to her welfare and safely if she was exposed to him, especially in light of his misrepresented and his apparent notion that I would or that I had offered my daughters up for (his) sexual favors.

All Rights Reserved. None can be waived they are RIGHTS and Creator Given!

<http://www.hslda.org/join/protect.asp?m=do>

Estate of Macias v. Lopez, 42 F. Supp.2d 957, 962 (N.D. Cal. 1999).

Per Defendant, Violation of Constitutional rights by custom & policy.

TOTAL _____defendants time violotions is the sum of _____

Payable in gold or silver per

Section. 10. No State shall enter into any Treaty, Alliance, or Confederation; grant Letters of Manqué and Reprisal; coin Money; emit Bills of Credit; make any Thing but gold and silver Coin a Tender in Payment of Debts; pass any Bill of Attainder, ex post facto Law, or Law impairing the Obligation of Contracts, or grant any Title of Nobility.

III. PLEADINGS AND MOTIONS > Rule 12

(e) If the motion is granted and the order of the court is not obeyed within 10 days after notice of the order or within such other time as the court may fix, the court may strike the pleading to which the motion was directed or make such order as it deems just.

VII. JUDGMENT > Rule 55

the party against whom judgment by default is sought has appeared in the action, the party (or, if appearing by representative, the party's representative) shall be

served with written notice of the application for judgment at least 3 days prior to the hearing on such application. If, in order to enable the court to enter judgment or to carry it into effect, it is necessary to take an account or to determine the amount of damages or to establish the truth of any averment by evidence or to make an investigation of any other matter, the court may conduct such hearings or order such references as it deems necessary and proper and shall accord a right of trial by jury to the parties when and as required by any statute of the United States.

Federal Rules of Civil Procedure
III. PLEADINGS AND MOTIONS > Rule 8.

Rule 8. General Rules of Pleading

e) Pleading to be Concise and Direct; Consistency Each averment of a pleading shall be simple, concise, and direct. No technical forms of pleading or motions are required.

(f) Construction of Pleadings; All pleadings shall be so construed as to do substantial justice.

(Substantial justice is anytime a RIGHT is violated!)

PVC Knowingly violated our unalienable Rights, that among these are Life, Liberty and the pursuit of Happiness.-

WE will NOT live in fear because of PVC

I was in the S.A.F.E.R program and received notice of 6000 of Victim's assistance I didn't use..
The more I work on my own, the SAFER I feel!

I don't need help when it hurt us this badly!

PJ Stewart All Rights are Reserved.

(760)512-1727

I am not asking for help, I can help myself just fine..

I suggest you retract you're policy,

pay for any damages you have committed against Abby-Rose Stewart

and NEVER DO THIS TO A FAMILY AGAIN!

Amendment I

Congress shall make no law respecting an establishment of religion, or prohibiting the free exercise thereof; or abridging the freedom of speech, or of the press; or the right of the people peaceably to assemble, and to petition the Government for a redress of grievances.

Being a member of the Press, www.onsecondthought.tv/brian.htm and a Pastor, and I am peaceably talking about my grievances I have had it with the dictatorship under the bush-chaney total despotism.

In every stage of these Oppressions We have Petitioned for Redress in the most humble terms: Our repeated Petitions have been answered only by repeated injury.

Read the text

Home of the FREE because of the BRAVE!

Never miss a thing. Make Yahoo your homepage.

Attachments

Photos:

scan0012.jpg (434k) [View]

About Pacific View Charter School!

Added today!

California Department of Education
Curriculum & Instruction
Testing & Accountability
Professional Development
Finance & Grants
Data & Statistics
Learning & Support
Specialized Programs

Home »

Resources »

School Directory »

Search Results »

Details

California School Directory

School: Pacific View Charter

CountySan Diego

DistrictOceanside Unified

SchoolPacific View Charter

CDS Code37 73569 3731221

Low GradeK

High Grade12

Web sitewww.pacificview.org

School Emailcole@pacificview.org

Phone Number(760) 757-0161

Fax Number(760) 435-2666

CharterYes

Charter Number0247

Charter Funding TypeDirectly funded

School Address3355 Mission Ave., Ste. 139

Oceanside, CA 92054-1326

Yahoo Map

Mailing Address3355 Mission Ave., Ste. 139

Oceanside, CA 92054-1326

AdministratorGina Campbell, Director

Admin Phone

Admin Emailgcampbell@pacificview.org

StatusActive

Open Date7/1/1999

School TypeK-12 Schools (Public)

Year RoundYes

Statistical InfoQuick Link to DataQuest Reports

To notify us of public school updates, please send the 14-digit CDS Code and pertinent information using our e-mail form.

Additional state, county, district, and public school demographics are available on the DataQuest Web site .

Printed and electronic copies of the California Public School Directory can be obtained from CDE Press .

See the County Offices of Education (COE) page for links to all COE Web sites.

Page generated: 12/13/2007 1:04:54 PM

California Department of Education

1430 N Street

Sacramento, CA 95814